# Utility of a Novel Mobile Lip-Reading Application for Patients After Total Laryngectomy

# Shreyas G. Krishnapura<sup>1</sup>, Daniel D. Sharbel<sup>3</sup> Kyle Mannion<sup>2</sup>, Alexander J. Langerman<sup>2</sup>, Robert Sinard<sup>2</sup>, Sarah L. Rohde<sup>2</sup>, Michael C. Topf<sup>2</sup>

<sup>!</sup> Vanderbilt School of Medicine, <sup>2</sup> Vanderbilt University Medical Center Department of Otolaryngology – Head and Neck, <sup>3</sup> Augusta Medical Center Department of Otolaryngology – Head and Neck

#### INTRODUCTION

Total laryngectomy (TL), or complete removal of the voice box, remains an important treatment option for patients who present with locally advanced laryngeal cancer<sup>1</sup>

The loss of natural voice from TL can worsen a patient's quality of life and lead to significant psychosocial discomfort.<sup>2</sup> It is estimated that 22-30% of laryngectomy patients experience depression and anxiety<sup>3.4</sup> and 40% withdraw socially.<sup>5</sup>

Methods for voice rehabilitation following TL include esophageal speech, tracheoesophageal speech, and electrolaryngeal speech.

Voice rehabilitation options are not available immediately following TL as they require either sufficient healing or a significant learning curve until intelligible speech is obtained. In summary, there is an urgent need for novel mechanisms of communication in the postoperative TL patient.

The Speech Recognition App for the Voice Impaired (SRAVI) developed by Liopa (Lancashire, UK). Figure 1 shows the app's workflow and Figure 2 shows the user interface



#### Figure 1: SRAVI workflow

## **AIMS and HYPOTHESIS**

Aim 1: Determine the Rank 1 accuracy and total recognition accuracy of SRAVI in the postoperative TL patient. We hypothesize that Rank 1 accuracy and total recognition accuracy of SRAVI will exceed 80% and 90% respectively based on prior data in tracheotomized ICU patients (86%).

Aim 2: Investigate the potential benefit of SRAVI on postoperative care and communication of total laryngectomy **patients.** We hypothesize that a majority of patients will prefer SRAVI over written communication

## **METHODS**

- English-speaking and literate patients scheduled to receive a total laryngectomy starting October 2022 at VUMC
- Informed consent was obtained on postoperative day 1.
- using the following surveys (calculating mean and standard deviation):
- a modified version of the 35-item Self-Evaluation of questionnaire
- SRAVI experience survey
- Ease of Communication Scale questionnaire (10-question scale out of 40).



Figure 2: User interface in the SRAVI app

- with surgery)

Table 1: Summary of Acc Total Valid Transactions

- Accurate on 1<sup>st</sup> Response
- Rank-1 Accuracy
- Total Accuracy

Table 2: Accuracy data broken down by patient								
Patient ID number	Number of Eligible	Recognition Accuracy						
	Samples							
1	7	100%						
2	49	55%						
3	37	78%						
4	29	45%						
5	73	67%						
6	68	80%						
7	1	100%						
8	10	90%						
9	11	82%						

• At the conclusion of their inpatient stay, patients were surveyed

Communication Experiences After Laryngectomy (SECEL)



## RESULTS

10 patients were enrolled (one withdrew due to difficulty coping

#### • Mean (SD) age was 64.2 (5.9) with 6 male and 4 female patients

CI	uracy data for all Patients
	285
	120
	42%
	70%



To communicate your feelings? To communicate in general?

To communicate your thoughts? To ask questions about your care?

To communicate with your nurses?

To communicate with your doctors?

How hard was it for you to make yourself understood? To ask questions about your condition? Fo communicate your physical needs? To communicate with family and friends?

Not hard at all A little hard

# patients

How helpful do you fir How easy do you find : 10)?

How strongly do you p written communicatio Using SRAVI positively experience (1-10)?

Patients enjoyed using SRAVI and a majority preferred SRAVI over written communication • Data shows a lower overall accuracy of 70% when compared to prior validation studies of 86% (however, prior studies involved providers using the app with the patients rather than giving patients full autonomy).

• Improvements made to the app: larger "record" button, a "try again" option, and the option to manually select the phrase you were trying to say after two incorrect attempts (manual validation). Limitations: incomplete patient accrual (target: 20 patients) and lack of control group as this is an initial feasibility study

Future directions: continued accrual, multi-institutional expansion to Augusta Medical Center, impact of the application on quality of life/social isolation, working with Liopa on voice-banking integration, and a future randomized controlled study

To the Liopa team for their collaboration on this project and to the Vanderbilt Head and Neck Cancer Department for their support

1. Network NCC. Head and Neck Cancers Version 1.2022. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/head-and-neck.pdf. Accessed January 26, 2022 2. Babin E, Beynier D, Le Gall D, Hitier M. Psychosocial quality of life in patients after total laryngectomy. Rev Laryngol Otol Rhinol (Bord). 2009;130(1):29-34. 3. Keszte J, Danker H, Dietz A, et al. Mental disorders and psychosocial support during the first year after total laryngectomy: a prospective cohort study. Clin Otolaryngol. 2013:38(6):494-501 4. Perry A, Casey E, Cotton S. Quality of life after total laryngectomy: functioning, psychological well-being and self-efficacy. Int J Lang Commun Disord. 2015;50(4):467-475.

5. Danker H, Wollbruck D, Singer S, Fuchs M, Brahler E, Meyer A. Social withdrawal after laryngectomy. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2010;267(4):593-600



#### **RESULTS CON'T**

	16.7			33.3		0	16.7		33	.3		
		33.3			16.7	0	16.7		33	.3		
		33.3			16.7	0	16.7		33	.3		
		33.3			16.7	0	16.7		33	.3		
	16.7		16.7		16.7		16.7		33	.3		
	16.7			33.3		0	16.7		33	.3		
	16.7		16.7		16.7			33.3		16.	7	
	16.7			33.3		0		33.3		16.	7	
	16.7		16.7		16.7		16.7		33	.3		
	16.7	0	16.7				50			16.	7	
%	10%	20%	6 30%	64	10%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%	
l	Somewhat Hard 🗧 Quite Hard						Extremely Hard					

Figure 3: Ease of Communication survey results broken down by question, demonstrating communication challenges faced by TL

ble 3: Patient-reported experience with SRAVI									
	Subject Number								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
nd SRAVI (1-10)?	10	10	8	8	10	10	10	10	6
SRAVI to use (1-	10	10	8	8	10	10	8	10	7
orefer SRAVI over n (1-10)?	10	10	4	5	10	10	6	9	5
impacted your	10	10	5	5	10	10	6	8	5

### DISCUSSION

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

### REFERENCES