

A Solar Farm's Calculated Best Outcome

Current methods of resolving necessary earthworks on solar farms are established with little to no adaptation from the existing ground conditions due to its complexity and variability. Trackers have needed to evolve into terrain following options to account for the impacts that earthworks can have on time, cost, efficiency, complexity and environment. What if we could not only drastically reduce the necessary earthworks but in doing so account for every vertical variation at every pile and maintain the flexibility of changing the preset parameters quickly and efficiently.

Industry standard to date is to follow the existing ground at either end and carry out grading in a straight line fashion based off of those points as necessary. This is simple to follow while some modifications can be made either individually or systematically to be limited to certain parameters such as maximum north-facing slope of a tracker.

۲

Adjusting to Suit Site Conditions



As we were beginning to develop something to automate the vertical layout similar to what some other tools are capable of doing now, we realized what is possible with the data and developed a far more in depth analysis at each tracker. We are accounting for all tolerances as a variable that remains within the users control throughout the entire layout. The difference can be seen in the results as we account for more variables while reducing 30-50% earthworks, schedule, disturbance and potentially pile heights and concurrently maintain or increase production.

In the sample site to the right we have a side by side comparison of an industry standard method vs our developed tool Stantec Beacon[™] and as you can see in the savings in the center we are accounting for the largest factors that influence environmental impact and overall project finances when looking at the vertical layout. We have adapted this process for terrain following to account for all parameters.

EFFICIENCY: In marrying earthworks to the tracker requirements then improving overall design to suit the natural conditions, it's possible to make early decisions to improve the balance of required cut and fill. The result is minimized environmental impacts, compliance for vertical variations at every pile, and flexibility of dynamic inputs.





- 1 Increased fill to balance the excess cut 2 Reduced cut to balance the earthworks 3 Balanced earthworks: no imported or
- exported material

STUDY SHOWS UP TO 50% REDUCTION OF EARTHWORKS WHILE POTENTIALLY IMPROVING PRODUCTION AND REDUCING PILE REVEAL. FULL CONTROL AND QUICK ITTERATIONS TO ENSURE THE BEST SITE SUITED DESIGN



DOWNLOAD **LEARN MORE ABOUT DIGITAL POSTER**





in the reduction of earthworks by approximately 50%, reduction in disturbed area by approximately 43%, same pile reveal average and increased production compared to a conventional method of vertical layout.

PILE REVEAL		ESTIMATED EARTHWORK STATISTICS		R	DEPTH RANGE (FT)		DLOR	AREA (AC)	VOLUME (CY)
TOTAL PILES	7,124	CUT	11,250 CY		-3.8 to -2.0			0.27	179
PILE SIZE (DIA. IN)	4	FILL	11,600 CY		-2.0 to 0.0			9.75	11,053
PILE THICKNESS (IN)	0.25	NET	350 CY		0.0 to 2.0			15.44	11,595
EMBEDMENT DEPTH (FT)	8.50			'	2.0 to 2.7			0.01	3
AVERAGE REVEAL (FT)	6.16								

PILE REVEAL

EMBEDMENT DEPTH (FT) 8.50

TOTAL PILES

PILE SIZE (DIA. IN)

PILE THICKNESS (IN)

AVERAGE REVEAL (FT)

ESTIMATED EARTHWORK

STATISTICS 18,150CY

NET 4,700CY

22,850CY

CUT

FILL

4

0.25

6.52

DEPTH

RANGE (FT)

-4.2 to -2.0

-2.0 to 0.0

0.0 to 2.0

2.0 to 2.9

(AC)

1.11

10.75 17,434 20.77 22,722 0.31 93

(CY)

692