## HENRY FORD HEALTH

# Venous Ulcer Treatment with Pulse Electromagnetic Field Therapy Alana Johnson BA, Kristi Such RN; Deangelo Ferguson MD; Aamir Siddiqui MD,

### Abstract

**Introduction**: Pulsed electromagnetic field (PEMF) therapy has proven to be effective in acute tissue healing and pain reduction. It encourages the growth, maintenance and healing of living cells, soothes muscle pain and stiffness, and improves tissue oxygenation and blood circulation. It is hypothesized that by inducing a mild electrical magnetic current into damaged cells, PEMF therapy slows or stops the release of pain and inflammatory mediators, increases blood flow of the cells, and re-establishes normal cell interaction. With reduced inflammation, pain decreases, energy increases, and faster tissue healing occurs.

**Objective:** We conducted a study on the clinical efficacy of PEMF therapy to improve clinical outcomes for patient with chronic venous leg ulcers.

**Methods:** The study was designed as a prospective randomized, double blinded study to test the benefits of PEMF therapy. Patients who met the inclusion criteria were instructed on the use of the device and daily pain score recording. The wounds were treated biweekly with routine care, measured and underwent standardized photography. The study duration was 16 weeks for each individual. Randomization was performed after enrollment to the PEMF unit or a placebo unit (PEMF Systems, Inc. Sherman Oaks, CA). Only the study coordinator knew which device was provide to the patient.

**Results:** 33 patients completed the study. No patient sustained any harm from the devices. Six patients received placebo devices and 27 received active devices. In the treated group, wound area went from  $17 \pm 15$  cm to  $2 \pm 3$  cm<sup>2</sup> and wound circumference improved from 175±113 to 13±13 mm. These were both clinically and statistically significant (p < 0.01). For the control group, area went from 13 ± 14 cm to  $11 \pm 14$  cm<sup>2</sup> (p= 0.06) and circumference from 157±82 to 97± mm (p = 0.12), see table 1. Pain score rating and medication use were both significantly better for the treated group compared to control, table 2. Fig 1 and Fig 2 show examples of progressive healing with PEMF.

**Conclusion:** Our results confirm the vulnerary potential of PEMF therapy for patients with chronic venous stasis ulcers when combined with standard evidence-based care. Because of the short study interval, we were only able to document improved healing trajectory not necessarily complete healing. We were also able to document improved pain control with this technology. Pain control may translate to less inflammation and suggest a mechanism of action in this clinical setting. Further work is needed to better elucidate mechanism and long-term efficacy.

## **Division of Plastic Surgery**

## Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, Michigan

Data	

#### Table 1

	Pre-Treatment	<b>Post- Treatment</b>	p-value			
Area (cm2)	Control: 13±14.5	Control: 11±14	0.06			
	PEMF: 17±15	PEMF: 3±3.2	<0.01*			
Circumference (mm)	Control: 157±82	Control: 97±98	0.12			
	PEMF:175±113	PEMF:13±13	<0.01*			

#### Table 2.

	Control	Treated	p-value
Pain score**	6 <u>+</u> 2	4 <u>+</u> 2	<0.01*
MQS III**	24 <u>+</u> 12	14 <u>+</u> 7	<0.01*

\*\*Pain score and MQS III value used data from the second 8 weeks of the study to avoid ramp up and data collections considerations. \*p<0.05 significant

### **Patient Photos**

#### Figure 1 47 y.o male 3 year history of chronic venous ulcer.

Before



#### After completion of PEMF therapy





The Study was sponsored in part by PEMF Systems, Sherman Oaks CA



Rohde, C. H., Taylor, E. F., Alonso, A., Ascherman, J. A., Hardy, K., & Pilla, A. A. (2015). Pulsed Electromagnetic Fields Reduce Postoperative Interleukin-1β, Pain, and Inflammation. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, 135(5), 808e–817e. https://doi.org/10.1097/prs.000000000001152 Markov, M. S. (2007). Expanding Use of Pulsed Electromagnetic Field Therapies. Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine, 26(3), 257–274. https://doi.org/10.1080/15368370701580806 Sisken, B. F., & Walker, J. (1995). Therapeutic Aspects of Electromagnetic Fields for Soft-Tissue Healing. In Advances in chemistry series. American Chemical Society. https://doi.org/10.1021/ba-1995-0250.ch015 Moor, A. N., Vachon, D. J., & Gould, L. J. (2009). Proteolytic activity in wound fluids and tissues derived from chronic venous leg ulcers. Wound Repair and Regeneration, 17(6), 832–839. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-475x.2009.00547.x Harden, R. N., Weinland, S. R., Remble, T. A., Houle, T. T., Colio, S., Steedman, S., & Kee, W. G. (2005). Medication Quantification Scale Version III: Update in Medication Classes and Revised Detriment Weights by Survey of American Pain Society Physicians. The Journal of Pain, 6(6), 364-371. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2005.01.350



Figure 2 36 y.o female 6 month history of injury to left medial leg

Before

After completion of PEMF therapy

### Bibliography