



## INTRODUCTION

- Firefighters' (FFs) self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) contains a finite volume of air that supports the performance of occupational tasks for a duration that is dependent on ventilatory rate.
- Ventilatory economy has been found to be variable during fixed work rate treadmill ambulation in firefighters (1). However, no literature has examined the potential variability in ventilatory responses between firefighters during fixed pace simulated fireground tasks. Evaluation of variability in ventilatory efficiency is important to identify countermeasures to enhance FFs work efficiency and safety.

## AIMS

**AIM 1** – To investigate the ventilatory dynamics of FFs while completing simulated fireground tasks at a fixed pace.

**AIM 2** - To identify ventilatory characteristics associated with greater SCBA air consumption.

## METHODS

- Incumbent FFs (N=40) completed two randomized trials of a continuous air consumption drill (ACD) at a predetermined, standardized pace (i.e., 7.8 min) while wearing full turnout gear. FFs were instructed to avoid using breathing techniques that could alter ventilation.
- During one trial, FFs breathed through a self-contained breathing apparatus (ACD<sub>SCBA</sub>) and the change in air pressure (nearest 1 PSI) was recorded.
- During the other trial (ACD<sub>VO2</sub>), FFs wore a portable gas analyzer to measure:
  - Minute ventilation (VE)
  - Oxygen consumption (relative and absolute;  $VO_2$ )
  - The ratio of minute ventilation to oxygen consumption (VE/VO<sub>2</sub>)
  - Tidal volume (TV)
  - Respiratory frequency (Rf)
- Data from  $ACD_{VO2}$  were compared to air consumption data from  $ACD_{SCBA}$ using descriptive statistics, paired-samples t-tests, effect sizes (Cohen's d), and correlations.

## **VENTILATORY DYNAMICS IN FIREFIGHTERS DURING SIMULATED** FIREGROUND TASKS PERFORMED AT A STANDARDIZED PACE

E.L. Langford<sup>1,2</sup>, S.N. Lanham<sup>2</sup>, A.Q. Eastman<sup>3</sup>, H.C. Bergstrom<sup>4</sup>, S. Best<sup>4</sup>, X. Ma<sup>4</sup>, L.T. Higginbotham<sup>1</sup>, M.G. Abel<sup>2,4</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Health and Human Sciences, University of Montevallo, Montevallo, AL <sup>2</sup>First Responder Research Laboratory, Department of Kinesiology and Health Promotion, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY <sup>3</sup>Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN <sup>4</sup>Univeristy of Kentucky, Lexington, KY

## AIM 1 - RESULTS



mean values are represented with a dashed line.



# variable versus total air consumption.

- $\Delta$  SCBA pressure (lb·in<sup>-2</sup>) Relative VO2 (mL·kg<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>) Absolute VO<sub>2</sub> (L·min<sup>-1</sup>) Total VO<sub>2</sub> (L) Respiratory frequency (breath Tidal volume (L·breath<sup>-1</sup>) Minute ventilation (L · min<sup>-1</sup>) Total ventilation (L) Average VE/VO<sub>2</sub> Work economy (mL·m<sup>-1</sup>) equivalent for oxygen. Significance set at p < 0.05.
- economy.
- increases in TV.

- tasks performed.
- techniques to control TV.
- level relate to air management.

1. Gendron, P., Freiberger, E., Laurencelle, L., Trudeau, F., & Lajoie, C. (2015). Greater physical fitness is associated with better air ventilation efficiency in firefighters. Applied ergonomics, 47, 229-235.



## AIM 2 - RESULTS

**Table 1**. Ventilatory and air consumption outcomes of 40 structural firefighters who performed an occupational air consumption drill at a standardized pace. Correlation coefficients and significance values are reported between each

					95% CI				
	Mean ± SD			Min	Max	Lower	Upper	r	<i>p</i> -value
	1559	±	217	1048	2016	1490	1629	1	N/A
)	33,6	±	3,6	25.7	42,8	32.4	34.7	0.08	0.611
	3.0	±	0.4	2.3	3.9	2.9	3.12	0.45	0.004
	23.3	±	2.8	18.0	38.8	22.4	24.2	0.44	0.005
h∙min <sup>-1</sup> )	35.1	±	4.6	26.0	44.4	33.6	36.5	0.15	0.349
	2.6	±	0.3	2.0	3.3	2.5	2.7	0.34	0.032
	87.4	±	11.4	70.8	112.0	83.7	91.0	0.50	0.001
	678.7	±	87.8	551.5	870.3	650.6	706.8	0.51	0.001
	29.4	±	2.9	24.0	35.3	28.4	30,3	0.14	0.397
	88.2	±	11.1	65.8	113.5	84.6	91.8	0.43	0.006

Δ: Change in variable; SCBA: Self-contained breathing apparatus; VO2: oxygen consumption; VE/VO2: Ventilatory

• Coefficient of variation for  $\Delta$ SCBA pressure = 13.7%

## CONCLUSIONS

Despite performing tasks at a fixed pace, there was considerable variability in ventilatory outcomes, likely due to differences in stature and

As expected, VE was related to total SCBA air consumption. Although VO<sub>2</sub> remained relatively steady throughout the drill, VE exhibited a gradual rise, reflecting decreased efficiency as the drill progressed.

• Further, predominantly anaerobic tasks were characterized by increases in Rf, whereas predominantly aerobic tasks were characterized by

• TV was related to total air consumption, though Rf was not.

## **PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS**

Ventilatory dynamics appear to be influenced by the duration and types of

• FFs may demonstrate better air management by using breathing

Additionally, future research should investigate how body size and fitness

### REFERENCES