### THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ISOMETRIC STRENGTH TESTS AND DYNAMIC PERFORMANCE: A COMPARISON OF TWO BAR TYPES



UNIVERSITY OF SKATCHEWAN

# Introduction

- relationship between isometric strength and dynamic performance.<sup>2</sup>
- tests when comparing conventional barbells (CB) and safety squat bars (SSB).<sup>1,3</sup>
- Different bar types can alter biomechanics during isometric strength tests, but no research to date has directly compared available bar types used for isometric testing.<sup>1,3</sup>

### **Participants**

- 41 college athletes
- >1 Year of competitive experience
- No injuries preventing maximal muscular contraction

### Demographics

- Age (years): 21.1 ± 2
- Height (cm): 187.7 ± 8.5
- Weight (kg): 95.5 ± 14.5
- Training Status (days): 5.2 ± 0.8

Correlations				
	SSB		CE	
Sprint/Limb	Dom	Non-Dom	Dom	N
10 Yard Split	436	483	233	
40 Yard Split	499	466	272	
Note: Correlation r values presented for significant relation alpha level of 0.05.				



- **Parker@igniteathletics.com**
- YTN237@usask.ca

Parker Scott<sup>1,3</sup>, Kenzie B. Friesen<sup>2</sup>, Joel Lipinski<sup>3</sup>, Joel L. Lanovaz<sup>1</sup>, and Jonathan P. Farthing<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>College of Kinesiology, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK, Canada <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada <sup>3</sup> Ignite Athletics, Saskatoon, SK, Canada

Different biomechanics during isometric strength tests can enhance or diminish the

Previous reports show muscle activation differences during isotonic back squat strength

# Methods



### Warm Up

- **†** HR
- •Dynamic Stretching
- •Stimulate
- CNS

## Results

### **Regression Models** SSB 10 Yard Dash lon-Dom <sub>D</sub>rPF **b** = -0.664, **p** = .007\* $\overline{}$ ND**rPF** ` b = -0.825, p = .005\* -.317 40 Yard Dash **P**PF -.326 **b** = -0.750, **p** = .002\* nships at an ₄ <sub>ND</sub>rPF **b** = -0.727, **p** = .014\* Note: rPF in Newtons/BW. D= dominant, ND= non-dominant. **References:** 1. Hecker, K. A., et al. (2019). J Strength Cond Res, 33, S45. 2. Beckham, G. K., et al. (2018). J Strength Cond Res, 32(1), 48–56. 3. Vantrease, W. C., et al. (2021). J Strength Cond Res, 35, S1.

## Purpose



Examine the relationships between relative peak force (rPF) derived from unilateral maximal isometric tests using a **SSB and CB with dynamic sport-specific** performance in elite athletes.











**Conventional Barbell** (Randomized)

The SSB produced higher rPF than the CB during unilateral isometric tasks and emerged as the stronger predictor of sprint times.

Greater force output and a stronger relationship with dynamic performance during the SSB ISqT may be due to biomechanical positions which allow for greater torque development.

# **Practical Applications**

- (Sprinting and Jumping)
- performance than CB.



Safety Squat Bar (Randomized)



CMJ (Randomized)

## Conclusions

• Highlights the importance of utilizing bar implements that are more specific to the desired outcome task

Strength and conditioning coaches and rehabilitation professionals can consider the SSB in isometric testing for high-performance athletes, as it produces higher peak force values and better predicts dynamic