# **Sex-Specific Differences in Fatigability During Bilateral Vs Unilateral Maximal Isometric Exercise to Task Failure**

Brian Benitez<sup>1</sup>, Pasquale J. Succi<sup>1</sup>, Minyoung Kwak<sup>1</sup>, Djadmann Gustave<sup>1</sup>, Haley C. Bergstrom<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of Kentucky, Department of Kinesiology and Health Promotion, Lexington, KY,

### INTRODUCTION

Women are typically less fatigable that submaximal isometri during men exercise to task failure. However, the prevailing mechanisms and functiona consequences related to differences i sex-specific fatigability have not been fully elucidated. Some evidence suggests that differences in fatigability between men and women depends on the intensity of contraction during isometric exercise Further, independent of sex, it has been suggested that the total muscle mass utilized (i.e., bilateral vs unilateral) during exercise influences fatigability.

### PURPOSE

The purpose of this study was to identify the effects of unilateral versus bilateral contractions on time to task failure (TTF during women between and men fatiguing maximal isometric voluntary contractions (MVIC) of the leg extensors.



16 recreationally trained men (n=8) and women (n=8) between the ages of 18-35 were recruited for this study.





		100		
n				
n C				
e		75		
al n	(s)	75		
n	ailure			
S	-ail			
n :y	Task F	50		
9.	1			
n	e to			
s g	Time	25		
		25		
У		0		
			Man	
') g			Men	Se
J	1			

Figure 1. Time to task failure separated by sex and adjusted for condition. Black dots and error bars indicate the mean ± SD. Grey dots indicate individual data points.

### METHODS

In a randomized order, participants performed, either bilateral or unilateral (dominant limb) pre-test MVICs of the leg extensors, followed by an MVIC hold to task failure under either bilateral or unilateral conditions. Task failure defined as a 50% reduction in force.





Women

A sex (men vs women) x condition (bilateral vs unilateral) mixed analysis of variance (mixed-model ANOVA) was used to examine differences in TTF.

There was no sex x condition interaction (p=0.844; np2=0.003) or main effect of condition  $(p=0.519; n^2=0.003, mean diff=2.33\pm7.56s;$ d=0.145 [95%Cl: -0.314, 0.604]). However, significant differences in TTF were observed between men and women (Figure 1; p=0.003; η2=0.421). The significant main effect for sex indicated that the TTF was longer for the women (TTF=67.60±11s) than the men diff=25.84±15.56s;  $(TTF=41.76\pm11s)$  (mean d=1.602 [95%CI: 0.439, 2.764]).

Similar to previous findings for fatiguing submaximal isometric exercise, these data indicated that women exhibited greater fatigue resistance compared to men; perhaps due to differences in the contractile properties of muscle (i.e., proportional area of slow-twitch fibers). Interestingly, unlike previous reports for exercise, TTF submaximal for maximal isometric exercise was not different between bilateral and unilateral conditions.



Sex may contribute to difference in TTF during fatiguing, maximal isometric exercise. Thus, practitioners should consider the potential influence of sex when designed any testing or training protocol involving isometric exercise.

### RESULTS

### CONCLUSION

## PRACTICAL APPLICATION