

The Relationship of Opponent Ranking and In-Game Dynamics of Division-1 Women's Basketball

Allison Keefe¹, Tolga Kaya², and Christopher B. Taber¹

¹Department of Physical Therapy and Human Movement Science, Sacred Heart University, Fairfield, CT, USA ²School of Computer Science and Engineering, Sacred Heart University, Fairfield, CT, USA



ABSTRACT

relationship between an opponent's ranking and

locomotion and physiological factors in collegiate

women's basketball. Methods: Sixteen Division 1

Women's Basketball Players (Age: 21 ± 3 yrs; Height:

monitored during matches played between December

Polar Team Pro Sensors and straps and were instructed

2021 and March 2022. The participants were given

to wear the sensors during all games and practice

sessions during the season. Using the polar team pro

system sampling at 10 Hz, distance, speed, and heart

rate were calculated. A Student's T-Test was used to

distance per minute when faced with a higher-ranked

opponent versus a lower-ranked opponent. Cohen's d

effect sizes were calculated for each dependent variable

to determine the practical significance of each variable

across load conditions. A Bonferroni Correction was

Vienna, Austria, https://www.R-project.org) was used

for the data analysis. **Results:** The descriptive data for

playing opponents ranked lower (p < 0.001, d = -0.13).

When playing lower-ranked opponents, the athletes had

a higher total distance in meters (p < 0.001, d = -0.22).

playing higher-ranked opponents (p < 0.001, d = -0.19).

against lower-ranked opponents (p < 0.001, d = -0.25).

The average speed in kilometers per hour was higher

when playing lower-ranked teams than when playing

Conclusions: The main findings from this study were

that in-game dynamics change when playing higher and

lower rankings. Specifically, when playing teams that

covered, and heart rates. The opposite was true when

playing teams with higher rankings, the athletes tended

to move at slower speeds, cover less total distance, and

are ranked lower, the subjects tended to have higher

average speeds, maximal speeds, total distance

had lower average heart rates.

higher-ranked teams (p < 0.001, d = -0.11).

The distance per minute in meters was higher when

playing lower-ranked opponents than it was when

The maximum speed was higher in games played

applied to the data. R version 4.1.2 (R Foundation,

teams ranked higher and teams ranked lower are in

Table 1. The average heart rate was higher when

compare the differences in average heart rate, total

distance ran, maximum speed, average speed, and

Purpose: The purpose of this study was to examine the The National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) ranks collegiate basketball teams based on their ability to beat their opponents.1 Research completed in field hockey has indicated that when playing higher-ranked opponents, athletes engage in higherspeed activities.² 174.21 ± 19.27 cm; Body Mass: 73.98 ± 11.52 kg) were During basketball games, a player's ability to change directions

INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE

and decelerate quickly.³.

and get to the other side of the court quickly impacts the ability

of the team to win, requiring athletes to be able to accelerate

The purpose of this investigation is to examine the effects that playing higher versus lowerranked opponents has on speed, distance covered, and heart rate in collegiate women's basketball.

METHODS

Participants: 16 Division 1 Women's Basketball Players Age: 21 ± 3 years Height: 174.21 ± 19.27 cm Body Mass: $73.98 \pm 11.52 \text{ kg}$

Monitored between December 2021 and March 2022.

The participants were given Polar Team Pro Sensors and were instructed to wear the sensors during all games and practice sessions during the season. Using the polar team pro system sampling at 10 Hz, distance, speed, and heart rate were calculated. The 2022 NET Rankings for Women's Division-1 Basketball were recorded.⁴ A Student's T-Test was used to compare the differences in average heart rate, total distance ran, maximum speed, average speed, and distance per minute when faced with a higher-ranked opponent versus a lower-ranked opponent. Cohen's d effect sizes were calculated for each dependent variable to determine the practical significance of each variable across load conditions. A Bonferroni Correction was applied to the data. R version 4.1.2 was used for the data analysis.⁵

RESULTS

Overall Net Rankings can be found in Table 1 The descriptive data for teams ranked higher and teams ranked lower are in Table 2. The average heart rate was higher when playing opponents ranked lower (p < 0.001, d = -0.13). When playing lower-ranked opponents, the athletes had a higher total distance in meters (p < 0.001, d = -0.22). The distance per minute in meters was higher when playing lower-ranked opponents than it was when playing higher-ranked opponents (p < 0.001, d = -0.19). The maximum speed was higher in games played against lower-ranked opponents (p < 0.001, d = -0.25). The average speed in kilometers per hour was higher when playing lower-ranked teams than when playing higherranked teams (p < 0.001, d = -0.11).

Table 1: Net Rankings⁴

Team	Rank	High or Lower Rank
Boston College	47	
La Salle University	147	
Yale University	161	
Farleigh Dickinson University	222	
Mount Saint Mary College	243	
St Francis Brooklyn College	245	
Wagner College	250	
Army University	252	
Monmouth University	271	
LIU	306	
Merrimack College	309	
Sacred Heart University	321	
Bryant University	328	
Saint Francis University	331	
Central Connecticut State College	337	

Table 2: Descriptive Data

	Ranked Higher (Mean±SD)	Ranked Lower (Mean±SD)
Average Heart Rate (bpm)	119.49 ± 22.10	122.41 ± 22.0
Total Distance (m)	3271.22 ± 2060.00	3755.44 ± 2070.03
Distance/min (m/min)	23.04 ± 14.00	25.74 ± 14.24
Maximum Speed (km/h)	21.96 ± 4.19	22.96 ± 3.76
Average Speed (km/h)	1.54 ± 0.88	1.64 ± 0.86

DISCUSSION

The data indicated that when playing against teams that have lower rankings, the athletes had higher average speeds, maximal speeds, total distance covered, and heart rates. The opposite was true when playing teams that had higher rankings, the athletes moved at slower speeds, covered less distance, and had lower average heart rates. Given the differences in field length, game time, and pace between different sports, assessing each sport individually is more appropriate to determine the effects. Future studies should be completed in other sports to assess how each sport varies. Additionally, this study was completed on a team ranked in the bottom fifty in Division-1. This study should be repeated on teams with various ranking to see if the ranking of the team the study is on, effects the outcome of the study.

Practical Applications

When playing opponents with lower rankings, the athletes covered more total distance, reached higher speeds, and had higher heart rates than when playing against teams with higher-rankings. Coaches should take into consideration additional exertion that may come from the strength of the opponents.

REFERENCES

- . Wittry A. College Basketball's net rankings, explained. NCAA.com. https://www.ncaa.com/news/basketball-men/article/2022-12-05/collegebasketballs-net-rankings-explained. Published December 5, 2022. Accessed January 5, 2023.
- 2. James, C. A., Gibson, O. R., Willmott, A. G. B., Stewart, C., & Dhawan, A. (2022). Relationships between opponent ranking and locomotor activity in international field hockey. International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching, 1–12.
- 3. Reina M, García-Rubio J, Pino-Ortega J, Ibáñez SJ. The acceleration and deceleration profiles of U-18 Women's basketball players during competitive matches. Sports (Basel). 2019;7(7):165. doi:10.3390/sports7070165
- 4. Net rankings. WarrenNolan.com. (n.d.). Retrieved February 18, 2023, from https://www.warrennolan.com/basketballw/2022/net doi:10.1080/02640410902926420
- 5. (R Foundation, Vienna, Austria, https://www.R-project.org)