Keck School of Medicine of USC

New Paradigm of Music Listening: Hearing Protection Perceptions and Treatment Decision-Making Among Music Venue Attendees

USC Caruso Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery Keck Medicine of USC

Ryan Long BS,¹ Matthew E. Lin BS,¹ Avinash Iyer BS,¹ Oluwatobiloba Ayo-Ajibola BS,¹ Janet S. Choi MD MPH, ² Joni Doherty MD PhD² ¹ Keck School of Medicine of the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ²Caruso Department of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery, Keck

School of Medicine of the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA.

Introduction

- The popularity of social music venues have significantly risen over recent years with 52% of Americans reporting attend at least one live music event in 2018¹
- Prolonged and even short-term exposure to loud music has been shown to cause negative hearing threshold shifts, hearing loss, and other hearing-loss related symptoms^{2,3}
- Despite music venues such as concerts and music festivals commonly producing sustained sound levels above the recommended exposure levels set by OSHA, there is a lack of promotion of HP use and guidelines at such events^{3,4}
- Prior studies mostly focused on HP availability at these large recreational events with limited emphasis on understanding participants' decision-making regarding and perceptions regarding HP use to help shape HP interventions⁵
- We sought to characterize the knowledge, behaviors, and perceptions related to HP use amongst music venue attendees among a large cohort of recreational music

Results

Table 2. Multivariable linear regression of factors associated withmusic enjoyment while wearing HP (significant characteristics only).

57.5%

of HP users used High Fidelity Ear Plugs followed by moldable (17.5%) and foam ear plugs (16.6%)



			_
Characteristic	β	95% CI	p-value
Age	-0.01	[-0.03 – 0.01]	0.312
Sex			
Male	REF	-	
Other	1.57	[0.76 – 2.38]	<0.001
Race			
White	REF	-	-
African American/Black	-0.93	[-1.47 – -0.39]	<0.001
I am concerned about the effects of music on	0.05	[-0.09 – 0.18]	0.483
my hearing.			
I feel like my hearing ability has decreased	-0.04	[-0.16 -0.08]	0.487
because of attending music venues.			
Wearing ear protection at music venues can	0.64	[0.49 – 0.78]	<0.001
protect me from hearing loss.			
Symptom resolution			
No	REF	-	-
Yes	-0.07	[-0.38 – 0.24]	0.65
I have not had any symptoms	0.86	[0.08 - 1.64]	0.031
Bar attendance	-0.30	[-0.59 – -0.01]	0.043
Job attendance	0.50	[0.23 – 0.76]	<0.001
Reported Symptoms			
Often asking people to repeat what they say	-0.28	[-0.52 – -0.03]	0.027
Substance Use			
No substance use	0.44	[0.03 – 0.85]	0.033
Hallucinogens/Psychedelics	0.39	[0.08 – 0.70]	0.015
Hearing Protection Use	1.73	[1.47 - 1.98]	<0.001

venue to better promote HP compliance, especially among at-risk populations.

Methods and Materials

Study population included participants of 18 Reddit groups(10.5 million people) and 3 Facebook groups (175,000 people)

Anonymous Cross-sectional 39-question survey through REDCap was posted on these forums with a short description of the study for 3 months with monthly re-posts. Online forum moderators were asked to promote the survey along with the chance to enter a raffle for one of two \$50 Visa gift cards.

HP use and music attendance questions were included along with a variety of demographic and medical history questions as described in the results

Preliminary descriptive statistics were calculated using paired t-tests and Pearson's chisquared tests

Multivariable logistic regression controlling for demographic factors and other music venue attendance characteristics to analyze for factors associated music enjoyment.

Results

Table 1. Cohort characteristics and significant differences between HP users and non-users. N= 2,352







Characteristic	Overall	Hearing Protection (n,%)	No Hearing Protection	p-value
	(n,%)		(n,%)	
Demographics				
Age, mean (SD)	28.68 (6.95)			0.164
Sex				0.003
Male	1436 (61.26)	894 (63.54)	530 (57.92)	
Female	862 (36.77)	482 (34.26)	373 (40.77)	
Other	46 (1.96)	31 (2.20)	12 (1.31)	
Race and Ethnicity				0.032
Asian/Pacific Islander	310 (13.32)	206 (14.70)	109 (11.90)	
Black	93 (3.99)	53 (3.78)	39 (4.26)	
Hispanic	204 (8.76)	113 (8.07)	89 (9.72)	
White	1665 (71.52)	987 (70.45)	665 (72.60)	
Other	56 (2.41)	42 (3.00)	14 (1.53)	
Education				0.010
Less than high school	85 (3.64)	61 (4.35)	24 (2.63)	
High school	241 (10.32)	132 (9.42)	107 (11.72)	
Some college	548 (23.46)	306 (21.83)	235 (25.74)	
College degree	1005 (43.02)	617 (44.01)	384 (42.06)	
Graduate degree	457 (19.56)	286 (20.40)	163 (17.85)	
Otologic Past Medical Hx				
Prior Hx Ear Trauma/Infection				< 0.0001
Yes	655 (27.98)	504 (35.90)	141 (15.41)	
No	1686 (72.02)	900 (64.10)	774 (84.59)	
Diagnosed Hearing Problems				< 0.0001
Yes	654 (28.26)	510 (36.72)	141 (15.60)	
No	1660 (71.74)	879 (63.28)	763 (84.40)	
Symptom Duration	. ,		· · ·	<0.0001
<1 day	761 (33.02)	426 (30.65)	326 (36.42)	
1 to <3 days	602 (26.23)	433 (31.15)	161 (18.00)	
3 days to < 1 week	290 (12.59)	209 (15.04)	80 (8.94)	
1+ week	290 (12.59)	184 (13.24)	106 (11.84)	
Have not had symptoms	361 (15.67)	138 (9.93)	222 (24.80)	
Symptom Resolution				<0.0001
Yes	450 (19.48)	261 (18.79)	186 (20.64)	
No	1476 (63.90)	975 (70.19)	488 (54.16)	
Have not had symptoms	384 (16.62)	153 (11.02)	227 (25.19)	

Other 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 Frequency (%)

Figure 1. Respondent treatment decision-making regarding

wearing hearing protection (A) Reasons why respondents chose

to wear hearing protection. (B) Reasons why respondents chose

not to wear hearing protection. Multiple answer choices were

EXAMPLE 1 CONTRACT OF CONTRACT. OF CONTRACT OF CONTRACT OF

Discussion

- Despite the increasing popularity in loud social music venues/events and its associated risk of noise-induced hearing loss, the topic and interventions to address it remains understudied
- A large proportion of non-HP users have never considered using HP and have less awareness and urgency regarding safe listening practices, highlighting the need for further public education efforts
- HP usage and the belief in its efficacy is associated with greater subjective music enjoyment controlling for other variables
- More experienced concert goers (i.e 3h+ attendance) were more likely to use HP
- Future directions should include analysis of at-risk or sound-sensitive groups (e.g migraines, autism spectrum) for HP use at recreational music events

Conclusions

Our findings suggest protecting attendees' hearing health requires further

Sought Treatment				< 0.0001
Yes	1075 (46.70)	597 (43.32)	466 (51.55)	
No	733 (31.84)	575 (41.73)	155 (17.15)	
Have not had symptoms	494 (21.46)	206 (14.95)	283 (31.31)	
Protection Use				
Yes	1412 (60.60)	1412 (100.00)	0 (0.00)	< 0.0001
No	918 (39.40)	0 (0.00)	918 (100.00)	

educating patients about HP and hearing loss, providing increased HP access, and

better understanding attendees' current hearing health beliefs. The findings from

this study can help guide strategies to promote the safest recreational music

listening practices

allowed.

Contact

Ryan Long Keck School of Medicine 1540 San Pablo St., Los Angeles, CA, 90033 ryanlong@usc.edu (949) 698-0827

References

1. Nielsen music U.S. Music 360. https://www.nielsen.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2019/04/us-music-360-highlights.pdf. Accessed February 6, 2023.

2. Beach E, Williams W, Gilliver M. Estimating young Australian adults' risk of hearing damage from selected leisure activities. *Ear Hear*. 2013;34(1):75-82. doi:10.1097/AUD.0b013e318262ac6c

3. Opperman DA, Reifman W, Schlauch R, Levine S. Incidence of spontaneous hearing threshold shifts during modern concert performances. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2006;134(4):667-673. doi:10.1016/j.otohns.2005.11.039

4. Temporary worker Initiative - Occupational Safety and Health Administration. https://www.osha.gov/sites/default/files/publications/OSHA3953.pdf. Accessed Mar 9, 2023.

5. Crutzen R, Noijen J, Peters GY. Promoting ear plugs at music events: evaluation of the Celebrate Safe approach. *Int J Audiol*. 2021;60(5):359-364. doi:10.1080/14992027.2020.1828630