

# Feeding/Swallowing Impact Survey as a Predictor for Dysphagia in the Pediatric Population

Bo Hyun Kong, BA<sup>1</sup>; William Larsen Vaughn, MD<sup>2</sup>; Vivek Pakanati, MPH<sup>3</sup>; Mital D. Patel, MD<sup>2</sup>; Robert Hill, MD<sup>2</sup>; Laura Brooks, MS, CCC-SLP<sup>5</sup>; Nikhila Raol, MD MPH<sup>1,3,5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Emory University School of Medicine, Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery; <sup>2</sup>Mercer University School of Medicine; <sup>3</sup>Emory University Department of Pediatrics; <sup>4</sup>Emory University Department of Family and Preventive Medicine; <sup>5</sup>Children's Healthcare of Atlanta



## Abstract

#### Introduction:

- Instrumental swallowing assessments are the gold standard for diagnosis of pediatric dysphagia but are not without risks.
- We aimed to determine if the Feeding/Swallowing Impact Survey • (FS-IS) can be used as a screening tool to predict instrumental swallowing assessment outcomes.

### Methods:

- Prospective cohort study conducted at a single institution.
- Caregivers of children undergoing initial VFSS were identified and administered FS-IS within three weeks prior to VFSS.

## Methods and Materials

- Patients <18 years undergoing initial VFSS for diagnosis were identified in EMR.
- Parents were contacted by a study author for consent/verbal administration of Feeding/Swallowing Impact Survey (FS-IS).
- Following VFSS, penetration-aspiration scale (PAS) & Functional Oral Intake Scale (FOIS) scores were recorded.
- Non-parametric Spearman correlation coefficients were used for strength association between FS-IS and PAS/FOIS.

#### Results

- 50/84 caregivers completed both FS-IS and VFSS.
- Only the penetration-aspiration scale for thin liquids and domain 1 (effect on ADLs) was weakly correlated (r = 0.30, p = 0.03).
- No correlation between overall FS-IS and FOIS scores.
- Weak correlation (p=0.36) between FS-IS's Worry Subscale and FOIS.

- Non-parametric Spearman correlation coefficients were used to compare the strength of association between the caregiver FS-IS, PAS, and FOIS scores, based on VFSS recommendations.
- Multivariable linear regression was performed to determine caregiver characteristics that may contribute to FS-IS scores. **Results:**
- Of the 84 caregivers and children enrolled, 50 completed both the FS-IS and VFSS.
- No correlation was noted between overall FS-IS score and FOIS score, but a weak correlation was noted between the Worry Subscale and the FOIS (p=0.36).
- A weak correlation was noted between the PAS and effect on ADL's domain of the FSIS (p=0.03).

#### **Conclusion:**

- The FS-IS serves to assess the impact of the feeding and swallowing disorders, but may not be able to predict the likelihood of aspiration-penetration.
- Oral intake restrictions may be influenced by caregivers' degree of worrying.
- Penetration-Aspiration scale may only reveal certain elements of  $\bullet$ swallowing dysfunction. An improved tool for standardizing VFSS interpretation is needed.

- Multivariable linear regression was used to determine other characteristics that may contribute to FS-IS scores.
- Cronbach alpha values were used to assess internal consistency of FS-IS questionnaire.

#### Table 1: Demographics and Feeding Tube, Feeding Status

n=50
36 (72)
14 (28)
20 (40)
18 (36)
12 (24)
25 (50)
20 (40)
5 (10)
46 (92)
4 (8)
32 (64)
6 (12)
11 (22)
1 (2)
32 (64)
15 (30)
3 (6)

- Multiple linear regression analysis revealed no other caregiver characteristics that contributed to a greater FS-IS score.
- Cronbach alpha values for FS-IS were 0.86, 0.82, and 0.85 for the three categories, and 0.92 overall.

#### Figure 1. Correlation between FS-IS and FOIS scales

#### FOIS v FSIS



### Introduction

- 25-45% of pediatric population are affected by dysphagia.<sup>1</sup>
- Caregivers of children with dysphagia experience anxiety  $\bullet$ about not knowing how to feed their children, leaving their children with others, and uncertainty of duration of this problem.<sup>2</sup>
- Financial burden for families is high, as food modification and medical care make up large expenses.
- Video fluoroscopic swallowing study (VFSS) and Flexible Endoscopic Evaluation of Swallowing (FEES) are primary diagnostic tools, often paired with additional testings.<sup>3</sup>
- VFSS carries a radiation exposure risk, while FEES is associated with discomfort to the patient.
- A screening tool may help cut down the costs and risks associated with instrumental testing.

Table 2 Strength of association of PAS and each FS-IS domain by Spearman correlation coefficient. \* = p < 0.05

		Category 1 (Effect on ADLs)	Category 2 (Effect on Worrying)	Category 3 (Effect on swallowing needs)
Thin Liquids	PAS	0.30*	0.09	0.26
(N=50)	Penetration, #	0.25	0.1	0.18
	Aspiration, #	0.13	0.05	0.13
Intermediate	PAS	0.06	-0.1	0.12
Liquids (N=28)	Penetration, #	-0.01	0.06	0.34
	Aspiration, #	0.15	-0.14	-0.07
Solids/Purees	PAS	0.23	-0.15	0.11
(N=36)	Penetration, #	0	0	0



### **Discussion and Conclusion**

- The FS-IS has poor correlation with PAS/FOIS scores.
- Impact on caregiver quality of life does not appear to directly correlate with findings on VFSS.
- Additionally, PAS is not a comprehensive assessment of swallowing dysfunction. An improved tool for standardizing VFSS interpretation is needed.
- The weak correlation between FS-IS Worry subscale and FOIS suggests a greater restriction in diet if a caregiver scores higher on the FS-IS.
- Future research should assess or develop other validated questionnaires which may serve as screening tools to predict presence and/or severity of dysphagia, potentially helping cut

down cost and risk associated with workup.

## Contact

Bo Hyun Kong Emory University School of Medicine Email: bo.hyun.kong@emory.edu Phone: (201) 914-9515

## References

0.05

Aspiration, #

-0.09

1. Lefton-Greif MA. Pediatric dysphagia. Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am. 2008;19(4):837-ix. doi:10.1016/j.pmr.2008.05.007 2. Myer CM 4th, Howell RJ, Cohen AP, Willging JP, Ishman SL. A Systematic Review of Patient- or Proxy-Reported Validated Instruments Assessing Pediatric Dysphagia. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2016;154(5):817-823. doi:10.1177/0194599816630531 3. Lawlor CM, Choi S. Diagnosis and Management of Pediatric Dysphagia: A Review. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2020;146(2):183-191. doi:10.1001/jamaoto.2019.3622 4. Serel Arslan S, Demir N, Karaduman AA, Belafsky PC. The Pediatric Version of the Eating Assessment Tool: a caregiver administered dyphagia-

specific outcome instrument for children. Disabil Rehabil. 2018;40(17):2088-2092.

0.08