Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Presentation, Management and **Outcomes of Patients with Airway Stenosis** Dylan Bertoni MD¹, Sana Siddiqui MD¹, Victor Jegede BS¹, Austin Yap BS¹, Natalia Queenan BS¹, Jay Trivedi BS¹,

Dev Amin MD¹, Kathleen Tibbetts MD¹, Joseph Spiegel MD¹

1. Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Department of Otolaryngology--Head & Neck Surgery

Jefferson **Thomas Jefferson University**

HOME OF SIDNEY KIMMEL MEDICAL COLLEGE

Background

Laryngotracheal stenosis (LTS) describes narrowing of the airway at one or more subsites, including the supraglottis, glottis, subglottis or trachea. Patients present with symptoms ranging from dysphonia/mild stridor to progressive dyspnea or asphyxiation.¹ Etiologies for LTS include congenital, idiopathic, iatrogenic (prolonged intubation, tracheotomy), traumatic, rheumatologic or neoplastic.² LTS is often treated surgically, with endoscopic or open procedures to improve or maintain airway patency.^{3,4} The impact of the SARS-CoV-2(COVID-19) pandemic on the healthcare system has been significant, and may never be completely understood.⁵ While the vast majority of positive COVID-19 cases were mild or presentation, history of prior tracheotomy, timing of presentation relative to the asymptomatic, early estimates of pre-vaccine cases illustrated up to 14-17% COVID-19 pandemic, and history of COVID-19 infection. Details regarding treatment of severe disease with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).⁶ Many course including surgical approach and treatment modalities, tracheotomy placement of these patients required endotracheal intubation, prolonged mechanical ventilation, and tracheotomy. Globally, there was a significantly increased number of patients requiring intensive care unit (ICU) level care with mechanical ventilation, at times overwhelming unit and hospital capacities. pandemic group to the post-pandemic group. Statistical analysis was performed using Limited understanding of the virus, especially early in the pandemic, may have changed or delayed standard protocols for avoiding prolonged intubation times and tracheotomy procedures. Elective procedures, especially highly aerosolizing procedures (such as those using jetventilators etc.) were cancelled or delayed. In addition to hospitals being overfilled, lockdowns, social distancing, and transportation limitations significantly affected the ability for non-COVID-19 patients to access routine healthcare for their chronic conditions.⁷ This study seeks to identify differences in etiologies of airway stenosis, patterns of patient presentation, and outcomes before and after the onset of the pandemic. We hypothesized that there would be an increase in traumatic LTS after the onset of the pandemic, and that patients would present with more severe disease requiring an increased number of surgical interventions.

Methods

This study was approved by the appropriate institutional review board. Patients who presented between January of 2017 and December of 2022 with LTS who underwent surgical treatment were included. Patients with history of laryngectomy were excluded. Patients were identified using ICD-10 codes for supraglottic, glottic, subglottic or tracheal stenosis who underwent surgical intervention. We documented demographic data including age, sex, race/ethnicity, BMI, smoking history, and comorbidities (hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus, heart disease, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), malignancy, obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), rheumatologic disease, GERD, and psychiatric conditions). We documented the location of stenosis and the etiology, as well as duration of symptoms prior to hypertension. Higher levels of anxiety may also contribute to elevated or maintenance of a previous tracheotomy at the conclusion of the procedure, decannulation, and need for multiple procedures were recorded and analyzed. The collected variables are summarized in Table 1. We compared the data points highlighted above between patients in the pre-R studio (version 3.5.1, https://cran.r-project.org). Shapiro testing was performed to assess the normality of the data. Pairwise comparisons were made with Wilcoxon Rank Sum test for continuous variables, and Chi-Squared tests for dichotomous or categorical variables. Due to the number of pairwise comparisons, a Bonferroni correction was applied.

Discussion

After the onset of the pandemic, patients were more likely to have anxiety or depression. This is consistent with studies that demonstrated increased levels of anxiety and depression in many countries.¹¹ Anxiety is frequently associated with dyspneic patients, including those with airway stenosis. We found increased rates of hypertension post-pandemic. Early studies demonstrated patients with hypertension were at higher risk for more severe COVID-19 infection.¹² As a result, more patients may have pursued diagnosis and treatment of blood pressure. This study was limited by the retrospective nature, and relatively small sample size of patients. We were not able to accurately record and incorporate the severity of stenosis in this population due to incomplete and inconsistent documentation of grade. Previous literature suggests that stenosis severity is a major predictor of outcomes, including decannulation rate and need for additional procedures.¹³ Our inability to include this variable limits the impact of the results of our current study. The current study window may simply be too short to fully appreciate the impact of the pandemic on the population of patients with LTS, and further research over a longer period of follow-up may be needed. Our region was significantly affected by the pandemic, but not to the same degree as some other major metropolitan areas. Further study on a larger population from other major metropolitan areas or geographic regions, or even comparing urban to suburban or rural healthcare systems is warranted to determine if there truly was a difference in LTS presentation and severity following the pandemic.

Variable	Total (n=204)	Pre-Pandemic (n=131)	Post-Pandemic (n=73)	p-value
$\Lambda ao (voarc)$	56 [17 80]	55 [17 80]	56 [22 8/]	0 07/3

Variable	Total (n=204)	Pre-Pandemic (n=131)	Post-Pandemic (n=73)	p-value
Approach				0.8203
Endoscopic	187 (91%)	119 (92%)	67 (92%)	
Open	18 (9%)	12 (8%)	6 (8%)	
Endoscopic Procedure				
Laser	167 (82%)	103 (79%)	64 (88%)	0.1080
Dilation	119 (58%)	70 (53%)	49 (67%)	0.0573
Cold excision	35 (17%)	25 (19%)	10 (14%)	0.3167
Triamcinolone injection	68 (33%)	28 (21%)	40 (55%)	<0.00001*
Mitomycin	22 (11%)	19 (15%)	3 (4%)	0.0218*
Trach				
New trach	61 (30%)	34 (26%)	27 (37%)	0.0990
Trach present	72 (35%)	43 (33%)	29 (40%)	0.3228
Decannulation	33 (16%)	17 (13%)	16 (22%)	0.0964
Second procedure	110 (54%)	79 (61%)	31 (42%)	0.0143*
Total procedures	2 [0-13]	2 [0-13]	1 (1-12)	0.0008**

Conclusions

• The COVID-19 pandemic had significant impact on the population.

Age (years)	20 [1/-09]	22 [1/-09]	JO [ZZ-04]	0.9/43
Number of female patients (%)	145 (71%)	94 (72%)	51 (70%)	0.7750
Race White	143 (70%) 49 (24%) 3 (1%) 9 (4%)	93 (71%) 31 (24%) 2 (2%) 5 (4%)	50 (68%) 18 (25%) 1 (1%) 4 (5%)	0.9479
Ethnicity Hispanic/Latino Non-hispanic/latino	9 (4%) 195 (96%) 29.5 [18.7-56.1]	4 (3%) 127 (97%) 29.4 [18.7-54.9]	5 (6%) 68 (94%) 29.6 [20.0-56.1]	0.2057
Smoking History Never	136 (67%) 4 (2%) 64 (31%)	85 (65%) 3 (2%) 43 (33%)	51 (70%) 1 (1%) 21 (29%)	0.7325
Asthma COPD Cancer (all types)	111 (54%) 55 (27%) 45 (22%) 36 (18%) 28 (14%) 27 (13%) 41 (20%) 17 (8%) 100 (49%) 76 (37%)	64 (49%) 31 (24%) 30 (23%) 20 (15%) 18 (14%) 16 (12%) 24 (18%) 11 (8%) 64 (49%) 40 (31%)	47 (64%) 24 (33%) 15 (21%) 16 (22%) 10 (14%) 11 (15%) 17 (23%) 6 (8%) 36 (49%) 36 (49%)	0.0328* 0.1552 0.6976 0.2323 0.9934 0.5641 0.3961 0.9649 0.9498 0.9498 0.0078*
Stenosis Etiology Congenital Idiopathic Acquired (intubation) Acquired (tracheotomy)	3 (1%) 83 (40%) 51 (25%) 85 (42%)	1 (1%) 55 (42%) 33 (25%) 47 (36%)	2 (3%) 28 (38%) 18 (25%) 38 (52%)	0.2610 0.6130 0.9328 0.0247*

Table 2. Summary of outcome measures.

Discussion

The pandemic led to a significant increase in respiratory disease requiring intubation and tracheotomy, and profoundly impacted routine patient follow-up for chronic conditions. We hypothesized that following the onset of the pandemic, rates and severity of iatrogenic LTS would significantly increase. However, the rate of LTS surgeries declined (0.12) procedures per day to 0.07 procedures per day). We believe this decline in procedure rate reflects an overall decrease in operative interventions during the early stages of the pandemic, rather than an actual decrease in disease prevalence. Due to restrictions in operating room time and jet-ventilation capabilities during the early pandemic, many of these patients were managed and temporized with office-based injections in lieu of surgery. The severity of LTS was also not noted to be significantly different pre-

and post-pandemic. No significant differences were found between the rate of open procedures, tracheotomy placement, or decannulation. This could

- When comparing patients with LTS pre- and post-pandemic, there were no significant differences between patient presentation or outcomes.
- We did find an increased rate of tracheotomy acquired stenoses post-pandemic, though this difference failed to achieve statistical significance when correcting for the number of pairwise comparisons performed.
- Further study is warranted in a larger patient population from multiple cities to truly understand the impact of COVID-19 on LTS.

References

1.Rosow DE, Barbarite E. Review of adult laryngotracheal stenosis: pathogenesis, management, and outcomes Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2016;24(6). https://journals.lww.com/cootolaryngology/Fulltext/2016/12000/Review of adult laryngotracheal stenosis .7.aspx 2.Gelbard A, Francis DO, Sandulache VC, Simmons JC, Donovan DT, Ongkasuwan J. Causes and consequences of adult laryngotracheal stenosis. The Laryngoscope. 2015;125(5):1137-1143. doi:10.1002/lary.24956 3.Mehta AC, Harris RJ, De Boer GE. Endoscopic management of benign airway stenosis. Clin Chest Med. 1995;16(3):401-413.

4.Mehta AC, Lee FY, Cordasco EM, Kirby T, Eliachar I, De Boer G. Concentric tracheal and subglottic stenosis. Management using the Nd-YAG laser for mucosal sparing followed by gentle dilatation. Chest. 1993;104(3):673-677. doi:10.1378/chest.104.3.673

5.Legido-Quigley H, Asgari N, Teo YY, et al. Are high-performing health systems resilient against the COVID-19 epidemic? Lancet Lond Engl. 2020;395(10227):848-850. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30551-1 6.Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet Lond Engl. 2020;395(10223):507-513. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30211-7

Acquired (tracheotomy)	85 (42%)	4/ (36%)	38 (52%)	0.024/^	of open procedures, fracticolomy pracement, or decamination. This could	401.10.1010/30140-0/30(20)30211-7
Acquired (total)	136 (67%)	80 (61%)	56 (77%)	0.1081	reflect an improved ability to manage these patients endoscopically. Pre-	7. Verma A, Rajput R, Verma S, Balania VKB, Jangra B. Impact of lockdown in COVID 19 on glycemic control in
Rheumatologic	5 (2%)	4 (3%)	1 (1%)	0.4560		patients with type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Metab Syndr. 2020;14(5):1213-1216.
Stenosis Location	- ()				pandemic patients were more likely to have a higher number of total	doi:10.1016/j.dsx.2020.07.016
Supraglottic	6 (3%)	5 (4%)	1 (1%)	0.3214	procedures, likely from these patients having a longer period of follow-up.	8.Hoffman MR, Coughlin AR, Dailey SH. Serial office-based steroid injections for treatment of idiopathic
Glottic	59 (29%)	35 (27%)	24 (33%)	0.3523		subglottic stenosis. <i>The Laryngoscope</i> . 2017;127(11):2475-2481. doi:10.1002/lary.26682
Subglottic	126 (62%)	77 (59%)	49 (67%)	0.2397	Acquired LTS from tracheotomy increased following the onset of the	9.Franco RAJ, Husain I, Reder L, Paddle P. Awake serial intralesional steroid injections without surgery as a novel
Tracheal	91 (45%)	64 (49%)	27 (37%)	0.1021	pandemic, likely as a result of COVID-19 infections, but this difference	targeted treatment for idiopathic subglottic stenosis. <i>The Laryngoscope</i> . 2018;128(3):610-617.
Stenosis Duration				0.1021		doi:10.1002/lary.26874 10.Serial In-Office Intralesional Steroid Injections in Airway Stenosis. Vol 144.; 2018.
<1 year	111 (54%)	65 (50%)	46 (63%)	0.0656	was not statistically significant at the adjusted α . Post-pandemic patients	doi:10.1001/jamaoto.2017.2800
1-2 years	27 (13%)	17 (13%)	10 (03%)	0.8841	were also significantly more likely to have intraoperative triamcinolone	11.Dozois DJA. Anxiety and depression in Canada during the COVID-19 pandemic: A national survey. <i>Can</i>
>2 years	66 (32%)	49 (37%)	17 (23%)	0.0388*	injection. This demonstrates a practice change made at our institution in	<i>Psychol Can.</i> 2021;62(1):136-142. doi:10.1037/cap0000251
Trach history	64 (31%)	38 (29%)	26 (36%)	0.3295		12.Savoia C, Volpe M, Kreutz R. Hypertension, a Moving Target in COVID-19. Circ Res. 2021;128(7):1062-
History of COVID-19	30 (15%)	0 (0%)	30 (41%)	0.5275	2018-2019, following reports describing the effect of serial office-based	1079. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.121.318054
					steroid injections for the management of idiopathic subglottic stenosis, and	13.Liu IY, Mendelsohn AH, Ching H, Long J, Chhetri DK, Berke GS. Staged laryngotracheoplasty in adult
Table 1. Summary of demographic and clinical data. <i>COPD</i> chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; <i>OSA</i>			laryngotracheal stenosis: predictors of long-term decannulation. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.			
obstructive sleep apnea; GERD gastroesophageal reflux disease; COVID-19 coronavirus disease 2019		likely not a result of the pandemic. ⁸⁻¹⁰	2015;141(3):211-218. doi:10.1001/jamaoto.2014.3283			