

The Time Burden of Office Visits in Contemporary Pituitary Care, 2016 to 2019



¹Pritzker School of Medicine, ²University of Chicago, Section of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery,

Rose Dimitroyannis, BA¹; Thomas F. Cyberski, BS¹; Neil S. Kondamuri, MD²; Christopher R. Roxbury, MD²

Introduction

- Cancer patients experience time toxicity from time-related treatment consequences
- Advances in care may prolong survival, but a significant portion of added time is spent in medical care
- Multidisciplinary care required for pituitary adenomas may lead to time toxicity
- We sought to characterize time burden of office visits for

All outpatient claims in MarketScan database between 2016-19 (n= 607,028,634)	Claims with all other	Total minutes spent per patient per year, 2016-2019		
	primary diagnoses			
	excluded (n= 606,900,527)	500		
Claims with a primary diagnosis of pituitary adenoma				
(n= 128,107)		400		
	 Claims associated with a non-E/M code excluded (n= 125,620) 	300		

pituitary adenoma patients in the MarketScan database from 2016-2019

CPT code				2018 claims (% of yearly; n=1193)	2019 claims (% of yearly, n=873)
99201	10	0.92%	0.13%	0.08%	0.34%
99202	22	2.18%	0.34%	0.34%	0.34%
99203	36	10.95%	1.67%	1.93%	1.15%
99204	52	21.20%	3.38%	4.44%	3.09%
99205	67	8.02%	1.41%	1.09%	1.83%
99211	5	2.52%	3.29%	3.02%	4.24%
99212	15	2.73%	5.05%	4.02%	2.52%
99213	25	17.48%	27.07%	26.66%	26.69%
99214	35	28.65%	49.83%	50.29%	52.35%





Figure 2 (Above): Total minutes spent per patient per year at outpatient visits regarding pituitary adenoma

Discussion

- From 2016-2019, patients spent an average of over 300 minutes in outpatient visits for pituitary adenomas before travel time, laboratory time, or procedures
- Patients spent less time at visits each progressive year
- A multidisciplinary approach is needed to address potential time toxicity in pituitary care
- Must determine if coding time differences are legitimate or a function of specialty-specific preferences

Results

(n= 2,099)

Figure 1 (Above): 2016– cohort attrition diagram

- In 2016, 2,099 patients received pituitary adenoma primary diagnosis
- 8,490 additional visits for this cohort from 2016-2019: 892 (10.5%) new and 7,598 (89.5%) return
- Of new visits, 29.3% with endocrinologists (n=857), 18.7% with neurosurgeons (n=546), 3.9% with otolaryngologists (n=113)
- 99204 (n=1,470; 49.2%) and 99214 (n=3,994; 52.6%) were most frequently billed codes

99215	47	5.35%	7.87%	8.13%	7.45%

Table 1 (Above): CPT code and AMA guideline estimation of time length. Number of claims per CPT code per year.

Average return visit with otolaryngologists was shorter than endocrinologists (27.8 minutes vs. 33.9 minutes, p<0.001)

Total time at visits decreased significantly each year, from 113 minutes (2016) to 69 minutes (2019) (p<0.001)

Methods

- IBM MarketScan Commercial Claims database was used to generate a cohort of patients with first-time office visits for primary diagnosis of pituitary adenoma in 2016 (CPT 9920X and ICD-10 D35.2) (Figure 1)
- This cohort was followed for additional claims involving new or return visits for pituitary adenoma from 2016-2019 (CPT) 9920X and 9921X)
- Total visit number was compiled for each patient, visit length was quantified using average AMA estimates (Table 1)
- One-way ANOVA and two-sample t-tests were performed (a=0.05)

Year	Number of visits per patient [mean (SD)]	Time per patient [mean (SD)]	Time per visit [mean (SD)]	
2016	2.95 (2.34)	113.02 (79.88)	38.36 (14.16)	
2017	2.61 (2.24)	84.05 (78.34)	32.2 (10.30)	
2018	2.15 (1.61)	70.2 (54.69)	32.65 (10.06)	
2019	2.13 (1.81)	69.1 (56.63)	32.44 (10.47)	
2016-2019				
Cumulative	9.84 (4.04)	336.37 (136.806)		
Table 2 (Above): Number of visits per patient time per patient and time				

Table 2 (Above): Number of visits per patient, time per patient and time per visit, by year.

Limitations

- E/M codes were the only measurement of time available within the database, so were used despite possible flaws
- Translating E/M code into a time measurement for outpatient visits does not consider other aspects of E/M coding

Conclusions

- This is the first study to quantify time burden of office visits for pituitary care
- We likely underestimate outpatient time toxicity for pituitary adenoma patients
- These results may inform future studies to improve efficiency and access to pituitary care

Contact

Rose Dimitroyannis University of Chicago Pritzker School of Medicine 924 E 57th St #104, Chicago, IL 60637 rdimitroyannis@uchicago.edu

References

Daily, K. (2018). The Toxicity of Time. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 36(3), 300–301. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2017.74.7907

Gupta, A., Eisenhauer, E. A., & Booth, C. M. (2022). The Time Toxicity of Cancer Treatment. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 40(15), 1611–1615. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.21.02810

Gupta, A., Jensen, E. H., Virnig, B. A., & Beg, M. S. (2022). Time-Related Burdens of Cancer Care. JCO Oncology Practice, 18(4), 245–246. https://doi.org/10.1200/OP.21.00662

Lamarca A, Palmer DH, Wasan HS, et al: Second-line FOLFOX chemotherapy versus active symptom control for advanced biliary tract cancer (ABC-06): A phase 3, open-label, randomised, controlled trial.

Lancet Oncol 22:690-701, 2021 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00027-9

Code and Guideline Changes | AMA - American Medical Association. https://www.ama-assn.org/system/files/2019-06/cpt-office-prolonged-svs-code-changes.pdf.