

## Predictors of Uvulopalatopharyngoplasty Outcomes in Supine Predominant Positional OSA

## Di Zhao, MD<sup>1</sup>, Yanru Ii, MD<sup>2</sup>, Zhihong Ling, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Jingying Ye, MD<sup>3</sup>

**Background:** It is uncertain which factors substantially influence outcomes after uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (UPPP) in patients with supine predominant obstructive sleep apnea (POSA)



(all P < 0.05).The responders had narrower minimal anteroposterior airway (mAP) (P = 0.016), minimal lateral airway (mLAT) (P = 0.002), minimal crosssectional airway area (mCSA) (P < 0.001) at the velopharynx and wider mLAT (P = 0.014) and mCSA (P = 0.002) at the glossopharynx. The independent associated actors for surgical success were lower BMI (p<.001), narrower mLAT (p=.002) and mAP (p<.001) at velopharynx, and wider mCSA (p<.001) at glossopharynx in POSA.

**Objective:** This study aims to explore the predictors of UPPP outcomes in patients with supine predominant obstructive sleep apnea (POSA).

Methods: A total of 108 obstructive sleep apnea patients, 52 positional patients and 56 non-positional patients (NPP), who underwent overnight polysomnography were included. The upper airway (UA) anatomy was evaluated by threedimensional computer tomography (3D-CT). All patients underwent revised UPPP with uvula preservation and were followed using polysomnography for at least 3 months postoperatively.The responders to the surgical treament were defined as having a≥50% reduction in base line AHI and a final AHI of < 20 events/h.

Figure 1. Segments of the upper airway in mid-sagittal plane: velopharynx and glossopharynx.



## Table Logistic regression analysis

	Odd Ratio	95% confidence	Ρ
		interval	
y mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	1.077	1.043-1.111	<0.001

**Result** :No difference was found in surgical success rates between NPP and POSA undergoing UPPP. In POSA patients, there were significant differences between responders and non-responders in body mass index(BMI), preoperative Figure 2. The minimal lateral airway dimension at velopharynx.



Figure 3. The minimal later airway dimension at glossopharynx.

VmAP,mm	1.213	1.144-1.286	<0.001
VmLAT, mm	1.089	1.032-1.049	0.002
GmCSA, mm <sup>2</sup>	1.004	1.002-1.006	<0.001

Body

VmAP: minimal anteroposterior airway dimension at velopharynx; VmLAT: minimal later airway dimension at velopharynx. GmCSA: minimal cross-sectional airway area at Glossopharynx.

**Conclusion**: POSA patients with lower BMI, narrower mLAT and mAP at velopharynx, wider mCSA at glossopharynx were more likely to achieve a positive outcome with H-UPPP.



<sup>1.</sup>Department of Otorhinolaryngology, The Second Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, HangZhou, Zhejiang, China;

<sup>2</sup>.Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China;

<sup>3.</sup>Department of Otorhinopharyngology–Head and Neck Surgery, Beijing Tsinghua Changgung Hospital, School of Clinical Medicine, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China