Outcomes of Primary Molars Treated with Sealants Under General Anesthesia in Pediatric Patients: A Retrospective Chart Review

Sara Al-Bitar, DDS and Lauren Hobeich, DDS NYU Langone Hospitals-Advanced Education in Pediatric Dentistry, Tucson, AZ **Department of Plastic Surgery**

INTRODUCTION

- The preventive benefits of dental sealants are well established in the literature. When correctly placed sealants are highly effective in preventing and arresting pit-and-fissure occlusal caries on permanent molars in children.
- Studies demonstrate that sealants could reduce up to 60% of occlusal caries in a nine-year follow-up when compared to unsealed molars.⁵ Based on results of limited studies and systematic reviews, sealant placement on primary molars exhibits the same results as permanent teeth in terms of preventative benefits.
- Studies show that sealants placed under general anesthesia were retained 68.3% of the time.⁸
- On average, primary molars treated with sealants were less likely to develop caries within three years of placement.¹⁰ This information advocates for the placement of primary molar sealants in high-risk dental populations undergoing general anesthesia.

PURPOSE

The objective of this research was to determine the outcomes of primary molars treated with sealants under general anesthesia. A chart review was conducted amongst pediatric dental patients at El Rio Community Health Center. Outcomes of teeth treated with sealants were determined based on need for restorative treatment at 6-, 12-, 18-, and 24-month examination intervals.

METHODS

Electronic chart records of pediatric dental patients that had primary molar resin sealants placed from 2015-2019 under general anesthesia at El Rio Community Health Center were assessed. Data points evaluating patient's age, sex, tooth number, recall interval, and if the tooth required future treatment were collected. Sealant success was determined by the lack of additional treatment needs identified at each recall interval.

FIGURE

Patient Information and code D1351 used under X9224:

Patient Number:

Sex: M / F

Age at time of Sealant Placement:

Tooth Number	Sealant Placement Y/N	Restoration indicated at 6- month recall	Restoration indicated at 12-month recall	Restoration indicated at 18-month recall	Res 24-r
#A					
#B					
#I					
#J					
#K					
#L					
#S					
#T					

RESULTS

- From 87 charts, 832 teeth were reviewed. Of these, 320 teeth had adequate follow-up to be included in our study.
- The study was comprised of 152 (49.2%) males and 157 (50.8%) females.
- The mean age of the patients was 4.06 years of age.
- at 12 months, 34.3% at 18 months, and 25.4% at 24 months.
- Overall, 1.6% needed treatment at 6 months, 6.9% at 12 months, 5.3% at 18 months, and 5.3% at 24 months.
- Success was higher with older patients than younger patients.

CONCLUSIONS

- Success of resin-based sealants was greatest at the 6-month recall.
- Success continued to decrease until the 24-month recall.
- There was no sex predilection.
- older patients.
- Success of sealants increased overtime, but the results were not statistically significant.

REFERENCES

¹Poulsen P. Retention of glass ionomer sealant in primary teeth in young children. Eur J Paediatr Dent. 2003;4(2):96-98

².Wright JT, Tampi MP, Graham L, et al. Sealants for preventing and arresting pit-and-fissure occlusal caries in primary and permanent molars: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials-a report of the American Dental Association and the American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry [published correction appears in J Am Dent Assoc. 2017 Apr;148(4):210]. J Am Dent Assoc. 2016;147(8):631-645.

³Wright JT, Crall JJ, Fontana M, et al. Evidence-based Clinical Practice Guideline for the Use of Pit-and-Fissure Sealants. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry, American Dental Association. Pediatr Dent 2016;38(5): E120-E136.

⁴.Honkala S, ElSalhy M, Shyama M, Al-Mutawa S, A, Boodai H, Honkala E: Sealant versus Fluoride in Primary Molars of Kindergarten Children Regularly Receiving Fluoride Varnish: One-Year Randomized Clinical Trial Follow-Up. Caries Res 2015; 49:458-466.

⁵ Lam PPY, Sardana D, Ekambaram M, Lee GHM, Yiu CKY. Effectiveness of Pit and Fissure Sealants for Preventing and Arresting Occlusal Caries in Primary Molars: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Evid Based Dent Pract. 2020;20(2):101404.

^{6.} Griffin SO, Gray SK, Malvitz DM, Gooch BF. Caries risk in formerly sealed teeth. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2009;140(4):415-423.

⁷ Hotuman E, Rølling I, Poulsen S. Fissure sealants in a group of 3-4-year-old children. Int J Paediatr Dent. 1998;8(2):159-160.

⁸Al-Eheideb AA, Herman NG. Outcomes of dental procedures performed on children under general anesthesia. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2003;27(2):181-

⁹ Azadani EN, Peng J, Kumar A, et al. A survival analysis of primary second molars in children treated under general anesthesia. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2020;151(8):568-575.

^{10.}Hong M, Vuong C, Herzog K, Ng MW, Sulyanto R. Sealed primary molars are less likely to develop caries. J Am Dent Assoc. 2019 Aug;150(8):641-648.

onth recall

ation indicated



NYU Langone Dental Postdoctoral Residency Programs

The distribution of treatment needs at each interval was 7.5% at 6 months, 32.8%

Patients who had sealants placed at a younger age had higher failure rates than