Background

During the emergence of COVID-19 and subsequent lockdown in 2020 – 2021, the field of dentistry in terms of both clinical practice and diagnosis as well as dental education was greatly impacted. Educators were forced to rapidly pivot material to online resulting in a movement towards virtual teaching and learning, presenting a unique teaching and learning opportunity for the pediatric department at NYU Dentistry. While much research has discussed the benefits and difficulties with online learning in dental education, there is little research evaluating the effectiveness of virtual learning on tooth specific diagnosis and treatment planning.

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of virtual pediatric diagnosis and treatment planning exercises amongst dental students in their pediatric clinical course during remote versus traditional instruction.

Methods

Table 1. Data by domain category and
 point allocation for an overall score

Domain Category	Point Value
Occlusion -R & L Molar Occlusion -Malocclusion	0-3
Radiographs -Maxillary & Mandibular Occlusal -Bitewings	0-5
Caries Depth by Surface (X3)	0-9
Pulpal Diagnosis and Treatment (X3)	0-6
Caries Identification and Restorative Treatment (3 test teeth: Class II, SSC, Extraction)	0-9
Space Maintenance	0-1
Total Domain Score	0-33

- Retrospective study collected data from third and fourth year dental students who completed four diagnosis and treatment planning surveys from March 2020 - March 2021 (IRB-FY2022-6608)
- Data was broken down into domain categories and was given point allocation for an overall score (See Table 1)
- Caries and pulpal diagnosis, as well as restorative treatment were selected for three test teeth
- Independent T- tests were performed to analyze students' performance on various levels – total subjects performance comparison:
 - i) over time (case 1 vs. case 4)
 - ii) by school year (D4 vs. D3)
 - iii) by teaching style (traditiona
 - vs. remote)

Effectiveness of Virtual Treatment Planning During COVID-19: Remote vs. Traditional Instruction Khera D, Lian L, Chinn C

Department of Pediatric Dentistry, New York University Dentistry | New York, NY



Results

2,925 surveys completed by 374 third year dental students (D3) and 379 fourth year dental students (D4) were reviewed. Partially completed or redundant surveys were excluded

Caries limited

dentin

Caries int

- 848 surveys were included, representative of 120 D3s and 92 D4s students who had completed all four case-based surveys during the time period of March 2020 to March 2021
- 60 D3 and 46 D4 students experienced remote learning while the remaining students experienced traditional learning.

Table 2. Average Change in Student Score by Domain (n=212)

Domains	Average Score Change (Case 4 vs. Case 1)	Percent Change	P value
Occlusion	*1.099	76.64%	<.001
Radiographs	*0.189	6.26%	0.022
Caries Depth By Surface	*-2.915	-46.93%	<.001
Pulpal Diagnosis & Treatment	*-0.217	-5.59%	0.014
Caries Identification & Restorative Treatment	*0.363	6.60%	0.015
Space Maintenance	*0.118	41.70%	0.01
Total Domain Score	0.043	0.22%	0.907

caries identification D) pulpal condition and E) pulpal treatment.

Table 3. Average Change in Student	So
by Class Year (D4 vs.D3, n=212)	

Domain	Average Score Change (Across all Cases)	Percent
Occlusion	*0.158	
Radiographs	*0.184	
Caries Depth By Surface	*0.252	
Pulpal Diagnosis & Treatment	-0.032	
Caries Identification & Restorative Treatment	*0.242	
Space Maintenance	0.056	
Total Domain Score	*0.971	

Table 4. Average Change in Student S by Teaching Style (Traditional vs. Remote,

Occlusion*0.448Radiographs-0.071Caries Depth By Surface0.135Pulpal Diagnosis & Treatment*0.215Caries Identification & Restorative Treatment*0.323Space Maintenance0.057Total Domain Score*1.106			
Caries Depth By Surface0.135Pulpal Diagnosis & Treatment*0.215Caries Identification & Restorative Treatment*0.323Space Maintenance0.057	Occlusion	*0.448	
Pulpal Diagnosis & Treatment*0.215Caries Identification & Restorative Treatment*0.323Space Maintenance0.057	Radiographs	-0.071	
Caries Identification & *0.323 Restorative Treatment *0.323 Space Maintenance 0.057	Caries Depth By Surface	0.135	
Restorative Treatment*0.323Space Maintenance0.057	Pulpal Diagnosis & Treatment	*0.215	
		*0.323	
Total Domain Score *1.106	Space Maintenance	0.057	
	Total Domain Score	*1.106	

Conclusion

- Students improved over the case-based exercises in occlusion, radiographs, caries identification & restorative treatment, space maintenance, and total domain score
- No significant improvement for caries depth by surface and pulpal diagnosis and treatment
- Remote learning is a viable method for discussing and accurately diagnosing and treatment planning pediatric dental patients
- Greater integration of technology in dental education and teledentistry are becoming more relevant, making virtual diagnosis and treatment planning exercises more important to keep educational curricula current

core

Change	P value
8.27%	0.033
5.53%	0.005
4.83%	0.045
-0.80%	0.652
4.34%	0.028
19.20%	0.071
4.83%	<.001
core	
core , n=21	.2)
	. 2) <.001
, n=21	
, n=21 21.90%	<.001
, n=21 21.90% -2.22%	<.001 0.279
<pre>, n=21 21.90% -2.22% 2.63%</pre>	<.001 0.279 0.28
<pre>, n=21 21.90% -2.22% 2.63%</pre>	<.001 0.279 0.28
<pre>, n=21 21.90% -2.22% 2.63% 5.21%</pre>	<.001 0.279 0.28 0.002

* Significant, p>0.05