

¹Department of Interventional Radiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; ² Radiology Associates of Northern Kentucky, Crestview, KY

BACKGROUND

- Vascular imaging intersects with all aspects of the body, crosses all sub-specialties within radiology, and involves multiple imaging modalities.
- Given the various imaging options, it is important for radiologists and trainees to have a strong foundational understanding of the appropriateness of each modality whether in the setting of surveillance, an acute presentation, or a chronic presentation.

PURPOSE

- This educational exhibit will:
 - Provide the viewer a better understanding of imaging appropriateness criteria in the setting of vascular imaging
 - Examine key vascular imaging protocols that are used while on call
 - Use a case-based review to discuss vascular disease processes that can be identified throughout the body using non-invasive imaging.

METHODS

- We performed a literature search to evaluate the appropriateness criteria for various vascular imaging modalities.
- Cases were acquired from our institution's Electronic Medical Record Search Engine (EMERSE) and our institution's imaging protocols were reviewed.

ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES PER IMAGING		
Ultrasound	CT Angiography	N
	ADVANTAGES	
 No radiation 	Quick	• No ra
Real time	 Vascular anatomic detail 	• Thro
 Document velocity 	 Visualize deep vessels 	• Vesse
 Physiologic changes 	 Evaluate larger calcified vessels 	• Vascı
 Relatively Inexpensive 	 Widely available 	• Visua
	DISADVANTAGES	
 Time consuming 	 Radiation exposure 	• Expe
 Requires skilled sonographer 	 IV Contrast administration 	• IV co
 Operator dependent 	 Calcifications obscure small vessels 	• Requ
 Artifacts from stents & calcs 	 Limited evaluation of stent patency in small vessels 	• Artifa
 Decreased quality for deep vessels 		 Limit pater

Non-Invasive Vascular Imaging: A Comprehensive Review Michelle M. Shnayder-Adams, MD, MPH¹ & Rudra Pampati, MD²





