of
C.School of Medicine
C.PERCUTANEOUS ENDOSCOPIC GASTROSTOMY PLACEMENT IN
TRAUMA PATIENTS: EARLY VS. DELAYED INITIATION OF
ENTERAL FEEDING



Alexandra P. Foster, MS-3¹, Sydney M. Garner, MS-4¹, Laura B. Reparaz, MSc², Jessica Justice, RD, LDN, CNSC², Stephanie L. Schaller, PPCNP-BC², Nathaniel Bell, PhD³, Kristen A. Spoor, MD⁴, Christopher M. Watson, MD⁵, Lindsey C.

Dunkelberger, MD, MPH5

¹University of South Carolina School of Medicine, 6311 Garners Ferry Road, Columbia, South Carolina, 29209 ²Prisma Health Richland, 5 Medical Park, Columbia, South Carolina, 29203

³University of South Carolina College of Nursing, 1601 Greene Street, Columbia, South Carolina, 29208

⁴Division of Acute Care Surgery, Prisma Health Greenville – University of South Carolina School of Medicine Greenville, 890 W Faris Rd, STE 310, Greenville, SC 29605

⁵Division of Acute Care Surgery, Prisma Health Richland - University of South Carolina School of Medicine Columbia, 2 Medical Park, STE 300, Columbia, South Carolina, 29203

INTRODUCTION

- In critically ill patients and trauma patients, adequate nutrition is essential for healing
- Up to 40% of ICU patients are malnourished
- Malnourishment is associated with poor outcomes including increased length of stay (LOS), morbidity, and mortality^{1,2}
- There is no clinical protocol for initiating enteral nutrition (EN) after percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) tube placement
- Decision regarding when to initiate EN is typically deferred to the proceduralist and there is often hesitancy due to fear of potential consequences
- This study aims to show that early EN is as safe as delayed EN in trauma patients post-PEG tube placement

METHODS

- Multi-center retrospective cohort study (n=384)
- Patients in Prisma Health Trauma Registry:
 - $\circ \quad \mbox{Age} \geq 15 \mbox{ with PEG tube placement between January 1, 2017} \\ \mbox{and March 31, 2022} \end{cases}$
 - Exclusion criteria: PEG placement by IR or GI, revision procedures, unrecorded time to feed initiation
- Data collected:
 - $\circ~$ Time after PEG tube insertion to initiation of EN
 - Feeding intolerance: high gastric residual, nausea/emesis, sustained diarrhea, ileus
 - $\circ~$ ICU and hospital LOS
 - Rate of aspiration pneumonia (PNA) post-PEG tube placement

RESULTS

- Time from PEG to first feeding:
 - \circ 0 to 6 hours in 48.9% of patients (n=188)
 - $\circ~6$ to 12 hours in 15.3% of patients (n=59)
 - \circ 12 to 24 hours in 21.8% of patients (n=84)
 - After 24 hours in 13.8% of patients (n=53)
- Adjustment made for age, sex, and Injury Severity Score
- Risk is higher when feeding is initiated sooner, but there is no statistically significant difference amongst intolerance rates
- Rate of aspiration PNA is similar amongst the four groups

Characteristic	Probability of Feeding Intolerance	SE	p
Time from PEG to first feeding			
0-6 hours	0.115	0.025	0.000
6-12 hours	0.036	0.022	0.107
12-24 hours	0.061	0.036	0.090
>24 hours	0.077	0.047	0.100
Differences in Margins			
0-6 hours vs 6-12 hours	0.080	0.033	0.015
0-6 hours vs 12-24 hours	0.054	0.041	0.180
0-6 hours vs >24 hours	0.038	0.048	0.426

CONCLUSION

- Other studies show similar patient complication rates when tube feeding is started immediately compared to when it is delayed³
- Most patients in this study started EN immediately (0-6 hours) after PEG tube placement
- These findings support that early EN after PEG tube placement is safe in trauma patients
- Early EN is not associated with an increased risk of aspiration PNA
- This study is limited by the retrospective nature of the project

FUTURE

- Early initiation of EN in trauma and critically ill patients is likely safe
- Clinical standardization of initiation of early EN for trauma patients after PEG placement is appropriate
- Prospective evaluation for potential benefit of early EN in trauma patients after PEG placement is warranted
 - Including objective measurements of early EN (additional calories consumed, BMI, etc.)

REFERENCES:

1. Khalid I, Doshi P, DiGiovine B. Early Enteral Nutrition and Outcomes of Critically Ill Patients Treated With Vasopressors and Mechanical Ventilation. *Am J Crit Care*. 2010;19(3):261-268. doi:10.4037/ajcc2010197

2. Giner M, Laviano A, Meguid MM, Gleason JR. In 1995 a correlation between malnutrition and poor outcome in critically ill patients still exists. *Nutrition*. 1996;12(1):23-29. doi:10.1016/0899-9007(95)00015-1

3. Shahmanyan D, Lawrence JC, Lollar DI, et al. Early feeding after percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tube placement in patients who require trauma and surgical intensive care: A retrospective cohort study. *J Parenter Enter Nutr.* n/a(n/a). doi:10.1002/jpen.2303

SESC 2023 | Savannah, GA